**Pupil Premium Strategy Statement**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Summary information**
 |
| **School** | Whitehouse Primary School |
| **Academic Year** | 2017-18 | **Total PP budget** | £117,060 | **Date of most recent PP Review** | Oct 17 |
| **Total number of pupils** | 199 (inc N) | **Number of pupils eligible for PP** | 88 | **Date for next internal review of this strategy** | Jan 18 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Current attainment**
 |
| Based on Key Stage 2 results for mainstream pupils in 2017 | *Pupils eligible for PP**(National PP Average)* | *Pupils not eligible for PP* *(national average)*  |
| **% at expected standard in reading**  | 83% (59%) | 100% (77%) |
| **% at expected standard in writing**  | 83% (66%) | 100% (81%) |
| **% making progress in maths**  | 67% (63%) | 100% (80%) |
| **Average Scale Score: Reading** | 104.6 (101.3) | 105.4 (105.3) |
| **Average Scale Score: Mathematics** | 102.4 (101.6) | 105 (105.2) |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)**
 |
|  **In-school barriers** *(issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)* |
|  | **SEND:** 47 (28.6%) pupils have been identified as requiring SEN support in school this compares to a national average of 12.1%. We have a further 7 (4.3%) pupils that have a statement or EHCP compared to a national average of 1.3%. SEN needs vary across the cohort and from class to class but we have seen a significant increase in speech and language support across all years but most particularly in Foundation Stage. |
|  | **Attainment on Entry:** 100% of our pupils entered FS 1 below the expected levels for their age. 60% of pupils attained a good level of development on leaving foundation stage compared to 71% nationally, we are closing the gap. We have seen a three-fold increase in the number of children identified with Speech, Language and Communication needs from 2015 to 2016.  |
| **C.** | **Social Deprivation:** The LA data pack for 2017 shows an IMD score of 31.5 (decile 3) and an IDACI score of 0.32 (decile 2). 61% of pupils live in the most 20% most deprived areas nationally and only 5% of pupils live in the 20% least deprived areas nationally. The majority of our pupils (91%) live in households where no-one has higher education and many parents have low levels of literacy and numeracy. We have more disadvantaged pupils than 96% of primary schools like us. |
| **External barriers** *(issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)* |
| **D.**  | **Attendance:** Absence for Pupil Premium Pupils for terms 1 – 4 in 2016-17 was 5.2% compared to a national average of 4.7%. The persistent absence rate for Pupil Premium Pupils was 11.9% compared to a national average of 14.1%. These figures are an improvement on previous years. |
| **E.** | **Chaotic Home Lives:** Many pupils have chaotic home lives and the school works closely with Tyne Gateway and the Troubled Families initiatives. We have a number of children living in homeless accommodation and some that are fleeing domestic violence. The school is a supporter of Operation Encompass. We run a free breakfast club to ensure that all children have access to a nutritious breakfast enabling them to concentrate better in class and improve learning outcomes. Some pupils have little or no support from parents for homework, reading or other school activities such as plays and concerts. |
| 1. **Desired outcomes**
 |
|  | *Desired outcomes and how they will be measured* | *Success criteria*  |
|  | To close the attainment gap in reading, writing and mathematics between our PP and non PP pupils in Year 6. We currently have 43% FSM6 entitlement in Year 6. | All PP pupils in Y6 to make at least expected progress and meet age related expectations by the end of KS2. |
|  | To improve outcomes for more able PP pupils across all Key Stages in school by developing a programme to enhance GDS and investigating the mastery approach. | A greater percentage of more able pupils to achieve GDS in reading, writing and mathematics; in line with national outcomes. |
|  | Continue to support specialist development and intervention programmes, one-to-one and small group work for PP pupils that are falling behind. To buy-in specialist support services such as Educational Psychology as required. | PP pupils’ progress at the end of each Key Stage is in line with peers. |
|  | Increase attendance rates for PP pupils in line with non-eligible pupils, reducing the number of PP pupils classed as persistent absentees. | Overall attendance rates for PP pupils to improve in line with national and comparable to other pupil groups.  |
|  | Continue to support curriculum enrichment programmes for PP pupils including breakfast club, after-school provision, educational visits and activity breaks. | PP pupils access all programmes throughout the year. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Planned expenditure**
 |
| **Academic year** |  |
| The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.  |
| 1. **Quality of teaching for all**
 |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action / approach** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** |
| 1. To close the attainment gap in reading, writing and mathematics between our PP and non PP pupils in Year 6. We currently have 35% FSM6 entitlement in Year 6.
 | Continue to assess pupil progress at least termly. Identify those pupils that are just below expected level and offer individual tailored support. | Although our KS 2 results were above national average for RWM, Reading and Mathematics the gap between PP and non-PP pupils remains significant in RWM combined and writing. There is also a significant gap in average scale scores for Reading and Mathematics. | Book scrutinies and lesson observations linked to progress trackingGreater challenge observed in lessonsModeration of pupils’ work in school and externallyTermly assessment and data analysis | NGQ / SLT | Termly data analysisTermly pupil progress meetings with Headteacher |
| 1. To improve outcomes for more able PP pupils across all Key Stages in school.
 | Develop a programme of more challenging work based on the National Curriculum. | In-house data analysis shows that more able pupils are not being stretched as much as they could be.RAISEonline data shows that “greater depth” scores are below national average. | As above.Greater differentiation will be evident in pupil workbooks, marking and feedback will reflect points for pupils to demonstrate “greater depth” of subject understanding.Staff CPD – levels of challenge.Improved “greater depth” scores to be achieved in all key stages. | SLT | Termly data analysisRAISEonline publication |
| **Total budgeted cost** | £8,672 |
| 1. **Targeted support**
 |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** |
| Continue to support specialist development programmes, one-to-one and small group work for PP pupils that are falling behind.  | To provide tailored support based on individual pupil need from a range of specialist programmes including:-* Reading Recovery Programme
* Primary Writing Project
* BRP
* Pirate Writing Project
* Talk4Number
* 1st class @number
* BLAST
* Six Quickies
* Five Minute Boxes
* Read Write Inc
* Write Dance (EYFS)
* Handwriting practice
* Dragon Writing
 | Many pupils have little or no parental support which means that basic skills such as reading, writing and numeracy are only supported in school.RAISEonline clearly shows a significant gap in writing outcomes compared to national averages. The LA data pack and in-house data shows many pupils falling just below expected progress on a term by term basis. If this goes un-checked they will struggle to keep-up with their peers when moving through a key stage e.g. from Y1 to Y2 or on transition to the next Key Stage e.g. KS1 to KS2. Pupils that are falling behind loose motivation and are likely to become Persistent Absentees perpetuating the problem. The education of others in the class may also be affected as a greater proportion of Teacher time has to be allocated to pupil catch-up. | Teaching Assistants keep records of pupil progress from baseline to current on a term by term basis.Progress of pupils to be in-line with their peers.Pupils moving into mainstream activities and caught-up with peers at the end of the intervention programme.A significant improvement in Writing compared to national average at the end of the school year. Measure by RAISEonline and LA data pack.Review of interventions to ensure effective results for pupils in all Key Stages. | SLT and Phase Leaders | Individual pupil records on a term by term basisOverall review of intervention programmes on a termly basis i.e. whole pupil base improvement.Annual review in September 2017 cross-reference RAISEonline data with pupils and intervention programmes. |
| To ensure that pupils are ready to learn and engage in the learning process. | To continue to employ the services of a Learning Mentor to support pupils mental well-being in school. | Many pupils have chaotic and complex home lives. Some pupils live in homeless accommodation and have witnessed DV in the home.For pupils to succeed it is important that they come to school ready to learn and focused on learning not what is happening at home.Our Learning Mentor is experienced in working with pupils to explore their feelings and emotions in a constructive way. Having someone to talk to and confide in enables pupils to leave some of their “baggage” at the school door and to understand that what is happening in the home is not their fault. | Tracking pupil progress and attainment data for those pupils working with the Learning Mentor.Fewer incidents of poor behaviour logged in the school log.More positive feedback from the Pupil Voice about feeling safe in school and anti-bullying. | RW, KO and LY | Annually in April. |
| **Total budgeted cost** | £80,288 |
| 1. **Other approaches**
 |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?** | **How will you ensure it is implemented well?** | **Staff lead** | **When will you review implementation?** |
| Increase attendance rates for PP pupils in line with non-eligible pupils, reducing the number of PP pupils classed as persistent absentees. | Letters homeReferrals to EWO for court actionRewards for good attendance- ‘In It, to Win It’ | The school is in the bottom 10% nationally for PA and overall absence rates for PP funded pupils.The school has a number of pupils that have a pattern of non-attendance for family holidays.Rewards encourage pupils to attend school as they do not want to let their peers down. | A member of staff in the office is responsible for attendance issues in the school.A RAG monitoring system has been introduced with letters home emphasising the number of hours of education a child has missed out on.The school will no longer be in the bottom 10% nationally. | JM | On a termly basis.  |
| Continue to support curriculum enrichment programmes for PP pupils. | Provide a free Breakfast Club for pupilsProvide after-school clubs for pupilsExtra-curricular music lesson, choir and arts lessonsOffer a programme of educational visits and activities throughout the school year that stimulate pupils’ learning and life experiences | Research shows that pupils are more ready to learn if they have had breakfast. Breakfast and after school clubs enable pupils to socialise with their friends.The school is in a deprived area with many parents dependent on low wages or benefits. Many children have no cultural experience other than what is provided via the school.Taking part in outward bound course builds self-esteem, confidence and team-working skills that help pupils throughout their lives.To provide free extra curricula Music lessons for disadvantaged pupils. | Tracking individual pupil progress and attainment at the end of each school year.Feedback through Pupil Voice regarding feeling safe in school.Improved “secondary ready” scores for mainstream Year 6 pupils.To fund up to 20 places for disadvantaged pupils .To fund 10 places for disadvantaged pupils. | SLT | Yearly in April |
| **Total budgeted cost** | £32,300 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Review of expenditure**
 |
| **Previous Academic Year** | **2016-17** |
| 1. **Quality of teaching for all**
 |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **Estimated impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | **Lessons learned** (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| To narrow the gap between PP pupils and non-PP pupils | One-to-one supportMore effective monitoring | We still need to close the gap in Year 6. More work needs to be done to support More Able pupils across the school to ensure greater depth in key areas is improved. |  Early intervention when pupils are just below expected level continues to be an effective support strategy.To begin Maths Mastery 1-2-1 tuition earlier in the year. | £20,876£1,500 |
| 1. **Targeted support**
 |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **Estimated impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | **Lessons learned** (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| A programme of tailored support for pupils that are falling behind | * Reading Recovery Programme
* Pirate Writing Project
* Talk4Number
* Read Write Inc
* Handwriting practice
 | Tailored interventions should enable pupils to reach the expected standard. This is the case for all pupils except those in the ARP. | One to one and small group work are very effective tools to engage pupils that are struggling in a classroom situation. Some pupils gained over 30 months of learning by the end of the Reading Recovery Programme and the First Class @ Numbers programme. | £45,326 |
| 1. **Other approaches**
 |
| **Desired outcome** | **Chosen action/approach** | **Estimated impact:** Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | **Lessons learned** (and whether you will continue with this approach) | **Cost** |
| Ensure that children are ready to learn on arrival at school | Continue to fund a free Breakfast and After-school Club for all pupils | Pupils are happy, feel secure and are ready to learn. | The role of the Learning Mentor is very important to ensure pupils’ mental well-being.The Breakfast and After-school Clubs ensure children have a positive start and end to the school day. | £33,010 |
| To provide enrichment experiences for pupils through outward bound and musical activities. | To fund places at Robinwood and Powburn.To fund music lessons. | Greater self-esteem, confidence and self-assurance in pupils.Pupils access cultural experiences through participation in music and choir concerts and lessons. | New for 2017-18. | £5,000 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Additional detail**
 |
|   |